# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

BROADBAND FACILITY PARTNERS, LLC, a Colorado limited liability company,

Plaintiff,

V.

TEN X CAPITAL PARTNERS III, LLC, SERIES D, a Delaware limited liability company, d/b/a CHICAGO FIBER SYSTEMS,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 08 C 01623

Judge Leinenweber

File with: Magistrate Judge Brown

#### JOINT STATUS REPORT

Plaintiff Broadband Facility Partners, LLC ("Broadband") and TexX Capital Partners III, Series D ("TenX"), by and through their attorneys, and pursuant to the Court's Standing Order for Initial Status Report, hereby submit the following Joint Status Report:

#### I. Summary of Claims

Broadband's allegations:

Broadband is in the primary business of brokering telecommunication network assets and providing valuation expertise and sourcing network solutions services to the telecommunications industry, and typically receives a commission in the range of 10% to 15% of any transaction's value. In mid-2006, TenX engaged Broadband for the purpose of developing a strategy for the network assets of Chicago Fiber Systems, a commercial fiber optic service company owned and operated by TenX. Broadband found a prospective buyer for those assets, and after months of working on TenX's behalf, that transaction closed for an amount believed to be in excess of \$8 million. Broadband alleges that TenX has refused to adequately compensate Broadband for the

services it provided, and asserts in this lawsuit claims for quantum meruit and, alternatively, promissory estoppel.

TenX's response:

TenX admits that Broadband introduced the above-referenced buyer to TenX, but denies the material allegations of Broadband's claims.

### II. Statement of Relief Sought

Broadband seeks, under its quantum meruit theory, the reasonable value of the services it provided to TenX, which Broadband alleges is approximately \$960,000 (equal to a 12% commission fee based on a transactional value of \$8 million). Alternatively, Broadband seeks at least \$350,000 as compensation for the services it provided to TenX, under a theory of promissory estoppel.

### III. Matter Before the Magistrate Judge

This matter has been referred to Magistrate Judge Brown for the purpose of holding a settlement conference.

#### IV. Status of any Briefing on Matters Referred

N/A

### V. Referral for Discovery Matters

N/A

### VI. Consent to Trial Before Magistrate Judge

The parties at this time do not consent to trial before the Magistrate Judge.

### VII. Deadlines Set By District Judge

No deadlines for discovery cut-off, pretrial submissions or trial have been set by the District Judge. A status hearing before Judge Leinenweber has been set for September 3, 2008 at 9:00 a.m.

### VIII. Status of Settlement Negotiations

Prior to filing of this lawsuit, the parties engaged in some limited settlement discussions.

Dated: July 16, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

### BROADBAND FACILITY PARTNERS, LLC

By: s/ Jennifer Yule DePriest

One of Its Attorneys
Marshall Seeder (#3127674)
Jennifer Y. DePriest (#6272137)
Kendric M. Cobb (#6282673)
Reed Smith LLP
10 S. Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 207-1000
(312) 207-6400
idepriest@reedsmith.com

Andrew M. Schauer (admitted *pro hac vice*) Jin & Schauer LLC 600 17<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 2700 South Tower Denver, CO 80202 720-889-2214

#### TEN X CAPITAL PARTNERS III, LLC, SERIES D

By: s/Eric P. Sparks

One of its Attorneys Paul W. Carroll (#6196314) Eric P. Sparks (#6279842) Gould & Ratner LLP 222 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 800 Chicago, Illinois 60601 (312) 236-3003 

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on July 16, 2008, a copy of the foregoing **Joint Status Report** was filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be sent to counsel of record by operation of the Court's electronic filing system.

/s/ Jennifer Yule DePriest
Jennifer Yule DePriest

CHILIB-2192324.1-jydeprie